

Date:14/06/2023

Action Taken Report

Academic year: 2022-23

Name of the Stakeholder: Students

A staff council meeting has been convened by IQAC with all the in-charges / heads of the departments under the chairmanship of the principal to bring feedback analysis to their notice with a request to find out the possibility for effective changes offered by their respective departments.

The Heads of the Departments in turn have conducted a meeting in their departments and explored the possibility of action plan to be implemented in accordance with the demands of the stake holders.

Students Feedback above 90% is considered as a positive feedback and below that as a negative feedback and we are working for the improvement. Out of Ten Questions, concerns were expressed only on Two Questions while on the other Eight Questions got positive feedback on which we will continue the same rigor to maintain the same output.

S.No	Question	Feedback / Concern	Percentage Consensus	Action To be taken
1	How do you rate the relevance of the curriculum and syllabi to the courses?	Excellent	<u>90.84%</u>	We intend to consistently sustain the current level of output.
2	How do you rate the extent of syllabi covered in the class?	Good	<u>86.11%</u>	We plan to complete the syllabus comprehensively and develop tailored revision strategies for those who may required additional support.
3	How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of relevancy to the curriculum?	Good	<u>86.35%</u>	We strive to enhance student experience by expanding the range of available choices.

4	How do you rate the usage of teaching aids and ICT in the class by faculty to facilitate teaching?	Good	<u>83.87%</u>	We commit to staying current with ICT teaching tools utilizing them for the students benefit.
5	How do you rate the transparency in the assessment processes?	Good	<u>86.92%</u>	We aim to enhance transparency by addressing student grievances from the suggestion box making the process more responsive.
6	How do you rate the opportunities in the college for research activities?	Good	<u>86.46%</u>	Conducting through research is crucial for extending invitation, and we are committed to allocating sufficient funds. Establishing additional MoU's .
7	How do you rate the promptness in evaluating the answer scripts?	Good	<u>86.16%</u>	We commit to maintaining diligence, ensuring timely evaluation of answer sheets remains a priority in our ongoing efforts.
8	How do you rate the out of class room learning (guest lectures, seminars, workshop, value added programmes, conferences, competitions)	Average	<u>84.35%</u>	To get more knowledge, we plan to organize additional seminars, workshops and etc Fostering a richer education.
9	How do you rate the opportunity for students to participate in internship, student exchange and field visit?	Average	<u>84.75%</u>	Within the frame work of IIC, we're establishing memoranda of understanding to streamline internship opportunity and foster student exchange initiate.
10	How do you rate the size of the syllabus in terms of load on the student?	Good	<u>85.10%</u>	We have limited control on the syllabus. However, based on the feedback we will recommend to the university.

S. Sni Gowni
IQAC COORDINATOR


PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL
SRK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
ENIKEPADU, VIJAYAWADA-521 108.